## Recitation 5. April 6

## Focus: linear transformations, change of basis, determinants

A **linear transformation** is a function  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  such that for any  $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have:

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{v} + \boldsymbol{w}) = \phi(\boldsymbol{v}) + \phi(\boldsymbol{w})$$
 and  $\phi(\alpha \boldsymbol{v}) = \alpha \phi(\boldsymbol{v})$ 

A linear transformation  $\phi$  can be expressed as a matrix B, with respect to given bases  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\{w_1, \ldots, w_m\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ : the entry  $b_{ij}$  on the *i*-th row and *j*-th column of B are such that:

$$\phi(x_1v_1 + \dots + x_nv_n) = (b_{11}x_1 + \dots + b_{1n}x_n)w_1 + \dots + (b_{m1}x_1 + \dots + b_{mn}x_n)w_m$$

Changing the bases  $v_1, ..., v_n$  and  $w_1, ..., w_m$  will mean different coefficients  $b_{ij}$ , and hence a different matrix B, for one and the same function  $\phi$ . The general rule is the **change of basis** formula:

$$B = W^{-1}AV$$

where  $V = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & \cdots & v_n \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $W = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 & \cdots & w_n \end{bmatrix}$ , and A is the matrix which represents  $\phi$  in the standard basis:

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{v}) = A\boldsymbol{v} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \phi(x_1\boldsymbol{e}_1 + \dots + x_n\boldsymbol{e}_n) = (a_{11}x_1 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n)\boldsymbol{e}_1 + \dots + (a_{m1}x_1 + \dots + a_{mn}x_n)\boldsymbol{e}_m$$

We note that if  $\phi(\boldsymbol{v}) = A\boldsymbol{v}$  and  $\psi(\boldsymbol{v}) = B\boldsymbol{v}$ , then  $\phi \circ \psi(\boldsymbol{v}) = (AB)\boldsymbol{v}$ . Moreover,  $\phi^{-1}(\boldsymbol{v}) = A^{-1}\boldsymbol{v}$ , assuming the linear transformation  $\phi$  has an inverse, which is equivalent to A being invertible.

Given a square matrix A, its determinant (denoted by det A) is the factor by which the linear transformation  $\phi(\boldsymbol{v}) = A\boldsymbol{v}$  scales volumes of regions in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . It satisfies the property that:

$$\det(AB) = (\det A)(\det B)$$

A computationally efficient way to compute the determinant is to put A in row echelon form, and set:

 $\det A = (-1)^{\#} (\text{product of pivots})$ 

where # is the number of row exchanges that you need to do as you put A in row echelon form. Note the identities:

$$\frac{\det A^T = \det A}{\det A} \qquad \qquad \det A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det A} \qquad \qquad \det(\lambda A) = \lambda^n \det A$$

for an  $n \times n$  matrix A.

1. Recall that the linear transformation "counter-clockwise rotation by an angle  $\alpha$ " is represented in the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  by the matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix}$$

If you compose a rotation by angle  $\alpha$  with a rotation by angle  $\beta$ , what do you get geometrically? What is the matrix that represents this composition? Can you use this to get formulas for  $\cos(\alpha + \beta)$  and  $\sin(\alpha + \beta)$ ?

**Solution:** The composition should be rotation by an angle  $\alpha + \beta$ , which is represented by the matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos(\alpha + \beta) & -\sin(\alpha + \beta) \\ \sin(\alpha + \beta) & \cos(\alpha + \beta) \end{bmatrix}$$

On the other hand, the matrix representing the composition should be:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \beta & -\sin \beta \\ \sin \beta & \cos \beta \end{bmatrix}$$

Setting the two matrices above equal to each other gives us the angle sum formulas:

$$\cos(\alpha + \beta) = \cos\alpha \cos\beta - \sin\alpha \sin\beta$$
$$\sin(\alpha + \beta) = \sin\alpha \cos\beta + \cos\alpha \sin\beta$$

2. Determine whether the following maps  $\phi_a, \phi_b, \phi_c : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$  are linear. If so, find a matrix representation of the map in terms of the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and then find a matrix representation in terms of the basis:

$$\boldsymbol{v}_{1} = \boldsymbol{w}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ -1\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{v}_{2} = \boldsymbol{w}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 1\\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{v}_{3} = \boldsymbol{w}_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 0\\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(a)  $\phi_{a} \left( \begin{bmatrix} x\\ y\\ z \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} x+y+z\\ x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$ 
(b)  $\phi_{b}(\boldsymbol{v}) = (\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{v})\boldsymbol{a}$ , where  $\boldsymbol{a} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}.$ 
(c)  $\phi_{c} \left( \begin{bmatrix} x\\ y\\ z \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} x-y-z\\ x+2y\\ y-3z \end{bmatrix}.$ 

**Solution:** (a) 
$$\phi_a$$
 is not linear, as  $\phi_a \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0\\2\\0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\4\\0 \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} 2\\2\\0 \end{bmatrix} = 2\phi_a \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$ 

(b)  $\phi_b$  is linear. Indeed, for any  $\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\psi(\alpha \boldsymbol{v} + \beta \boldsymbol{w}) = \big(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot (\alpha \boldsymbol{v} + \beta \boldsymbol{w})\big)\boldsymbol{a} = \big(\alpha(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}) + \beta(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{w})\big)\boldsymbol{a} = \alpha(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{v})\boldsymbol{a} + \beta(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{w})\boldsymbol{a} = \alpha\phi(\boldsymbol{v}) + \beta\phi(\boldsymbol{w}).$$

In terms of the standard basis, the matrix representation is

$$X = aa^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0\\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

In terms of the basis  $\boldsymbol{v}_1, \boldsymbol{v}_2, \boldsymbol{v}_3$ , let us form the matrix:

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & v_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

One can compute (e.g. by Gauss-Jordan elimination) that:

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The change of basis formula tells us that the linear transformation  $\phi_b$  is represented by the following matrix in the new basis  $v_1, v_2, v_3$ :

$$V^{-1}XV = \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

In other words, for any numbers  $x_1, x_2, x_3$ , we have:

$$\phi_b(x_1 \boldsymbol{v}_1 + x_2 \boldsymbol{v}_2 + x_3 \boldsymbol{v}_3) = \frac{(x_2 + x_3)(\boldsymbol{v}_2 + \boldsymbol{v}_3)}{2} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \left(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_3 \\ x_2 - x_1 \\ x_3 - x_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \boldsymbol{a} = \frac{x_2 + x_3}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The formula on the right is easy to check explicitly.

(c)  $\phi_c$  is linear, as:

$$\phi_c \left( \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}$$

so by the linearity of matrix multiplication  $\phi_c$  is linear. By the change of basis formula, the matrix representing  $\phi_c$  in the new basis  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  is:

$$A^{-1}YA = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 4 & -3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

In other words, for any numbers  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  we have:

$$\phi_c(x_1\boldsymbol{v}_1 + x_2\boldsymbol{v}_3 + x_3\boldsymbol{v}_3) = (2x_1 - 3x_2 + x_3)\boldsymbol{v}_1 + (x_1 - x_2 + 2x_3)\boldsymbol{v}_2 + (3x_2 - x_3)\boldsymbol{v}_3$$

3. Compute the determinant of:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 & -2 \\ 1 & 3 & -1 & 2 \\ -1 & 3 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

by using row operations.

Solution: We first swap the first and third rows, and then the second and fourth rows to arrive at the matrix:

$$M' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & -1 & 2 \\ -1 & 3 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore det  $M = (-1)^2 \det M' = \det M'$ . We now perform elimination operations on M':

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & -1 & 2 \\ -1 & 3 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_2+r_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 6 & -1 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_4+2r_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 6 & -1 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

which shows  $det(M') = 1 \cdot 6 \cdot 2 \cdot (-4) = -48$ . Thus det M = -48.

Note that:

$$\det M = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3\\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \det \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1\\ -4 & -2 \end{bmatrix} = 6 \cdot (-8) = -48$$

Indeed, it is true in general (and can be seen by row operations) that if a matrix is written in block form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ \hline 0 & C \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ \hline B & C \end{bmatrix}$$

(with A and C being square blocks) then its determinant is det(A) det(C).